

AGRIZZI SAYS ALL DECISIONS HAD TO BE APPROVED BY WATSON

28 MARCH 2019 – DAY 75

acquaintance with a gentleman. I do not want to really mention his name, but he had to interact and get involved with the, with the whole issue and there was a payment that had to be made of R700 000 and that was made from the attorneys trust account.

ADV PAUL JOSEPH PRETORIUS SC: Okay and then finally by way of summary you say in paragraph 20.11 who made decisions in relation to the assistance to Gillingham and Mti. What was the position?

MR ANGELO AGRIZZI: The company was owned and run by one person only and he would make the ultimate decision. As much as people would like to think that I could make decisions, the decisions were limited and you could only make a decision if you had his backing and he agreed to it. Otherwise you would be in big trouble. I mean you would turn around and say I didn't know about it, and often he would do that, he said no I did not know about it and then you would remind him and sketch the picture and then he says oh yes now I remember, but everything had to be approved by Gavin Watson.

ADV PAUL JOSEPH PRETORIUS SC: Then finally you were asked when last you gave evidence to decode the list of payments recorded in your black books.

MR ANGELO AGRIZZI: Yes.

ADV PAUL JOSEPH PRETORIUS SC: And to give some clarity in that regard.

MR ANGELO AGRIZZI: Okay.

20 **ADV PAUL JOSEPH PRETORIUS SC:** We have Annexure HH which is at page 86 of your affidavit.

MR ANGELO AGRIZZI: Yes.

ADV PAUL JOSEPH PRETORIUS SC: Perhaps you should just take the Chair through the information which is important, because it relates to contemporaneous notes that you made at the time.